

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.           | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/519,099                | 12/27/2004      | Hidekazu Saito       | 262899US0PCT        | 9487             |
| 22850 7                   | 590 12/21/2005  |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| OBLON, SPI<br>1940 DUKE S | VAK, MCCLELLAND | MULLIS, JEFFREY C    |                     |                  |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314      |                 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                           |                 |                      | 1711                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  | Application N        | 0.   | Applicant(s)       |       |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|----------------------|--|--------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
|  |  | 10/519,099           |  | SAITO, HIDEKAZU    |       |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office Action Summary  | Examiner             |  | Art Unit           |       |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Jeffrey C. Mulli     |  | 1711               |       |  |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>or Reply  | opears on the cov    | er sheet with the co                         | orrespondence ad   | dress |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  |                      |  |                    |       |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |                      |  |                    |       |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 November 2005.  |                      |  |                    |       |  |  |  |  |
|  | ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.   |                      |  |                    |       |  |  |  |  |
| 3)□  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |                      |  |                    |       |  |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |                      |  |                    |       |  |  |  |  |
| Dispositi  | on of Claims   |                      |  |                    |       |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠  | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.  |                      |  |                    |       |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |                      |  |                    |       |  |  |  |  |
| 5)   | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |                      |  |                    |       |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠  | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6,8,9 and 18</u> is/are rejected.  |                      |  |                    |       |  |  |  |  |
| 7)⊠  | Claim(s) 4,5,7,10-17,19 and 20 is/are objected   | ed to.               |  |                    |       |  |  |  |  |
| 8)□  | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/   | or election requi    | ement.                                       |                    |       |  |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | on Papers  |                      |  |                    |       |  |  |  |  |
| 9)[  | The specification is objected to by the Examin   | ner.                 |  |                    |       |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |                      |  |                    |       |  |  |  |  |
|  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |                      |  |                    |       |  |  |  |  |
|  | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |                      |  |                    |       |  |  |  |  |
| 11)[   | 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |                      |  |                    |       |  |  |  |  |
| Priority u   | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |                      |  |                    |       |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:   |                      |  |                    |       |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Certified copies of the priority documer  |                      |  |                    |       |  |  |  |  |
|  | <ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul> |                      |  |                    |       |  |  |  |  |
|  | <ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International Burea</li> </ol>   |                      |  | d in this National | Stage |  |  |  |  |
| * S  | ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis   |                      |  | 1                  |       |  |  |  |  |
|  | oo wo alaanaa adamaa omoo adiidii idi a iis  | t of the certified t | Jopies not received                          |                    |       |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |                      |  |                    |       |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment   | (s)  |                      |  |                    |       |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice  | e of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4)                   | Interview Summary (I                         |                    |       |  |  |  |  |
|  | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)<br>nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08   | n 5) □               | Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Pa |                    | -152) |  |  |  |  |
| Paper  | No(s)/Mail Date 12- 8  |                      | Other:                                       | Tom reprised to 10 | 102)  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/519,099

Art Unit: 1711

It is noted that certain claims such as claims 2 and 3 recite "further comprising" materials which would be encompassed by the independent claim from which they depend absent "further". However the term "further comprising" implies materials which are in addition to those already present and thus claims 2 and 3 require two polyurethane and two block copolymers respectively. However, they are not unclear.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 6, 8, 9, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura et al. (WO 02/0909433).

It is noted that Nakamura et al. Corresponds to US 2004/0132907 and as the WO document is not in English the US document will be referred to.

Patentees disclose reaction of a polyisocuanurate with an hydroxyl terminated styrenic block copolymer at paragraph 212 such as would generate applicants block copolymer "II". Other polymers such as acrylics may be added at paragraph 84.

Applicants molecular weight is not disclosed, although applicants admit at paragraph 7 of their published application that 200,000 molecular weight thermoplastic acrylics were known at the time of the invention. Therefore choice of the acrylics of applicants specification at paragraph 7 would have been obvious to a practitioner having an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention motivated to practice the thermoplastic acrylic containing embodiment of patentees and by the prior art disclosing

Application/Control Number: 10/519,099

Art Unit: 1711

a source of thermoplastic acrylics, absent any showing of surprising or unexpected

results.

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with

37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

Claims 4,5,7,10-17,19 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected

base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Lutter, is the closest prior art if the above Nakamura is shown to be non-prior art.

However patentees do not suggest or teach the use of a aromatic vinyl conjugated

diene block copolymer for making applicants block copolymer "II".

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jeffrey C. Mullis at

telephone number 571 272 1075.

Jeffrey C. Mullis J Mullis Art Unit 1711 Page 3

JCM

12-3-05

